

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				1 1		-
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,231	03/02/2004		Kyoko Kojima	HITA.0519	9839	
	7590	07/06/2006		EXAMINER		-
REED SMIT	H LLP		FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN			
Suite 1400						_
3110 Fairview	Park Dri	ve	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Falls Church,	VA 220)42	1637			

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/790,231	KOJIMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 June 2004						
\sim .	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	_	ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is				
closed in accordance with the practice up	•	• •	, IO				
Disposition of Claims	•	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	andrawn nom consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	,		` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ıments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received in a	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage					
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,231 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, 9-10, 13-17, drawn to methods of nucleic acid purification, classified in class 536, subclass 25.4.
- II. Claims 4-8, 11-12, 18-20, drawn to kits, classified in class 435, subclass 810.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions in Group II and in Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product of Group II can be used in the purification method of Group I, in protein purification methods where the protein is resistant to the selected protease, in histological staining methods such as in situ hybridization, as well as analysis of prions.
- 3. The search for Groups I and II are entirely distinct, since a search for Group I requires identification of methods in which nucleic acids are extracted using the steps outlined, while a search for Group II simply requires identification of any prior art which combines the listed references for any purpose whatsoever. The searches will utilize different search terms and different databases and will likely result in different art being identified.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search for each Group is distinct and burdensome, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP §

Art Unit: 1637

821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/790,231

Art Unit: 1637

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 6. invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is (571)272-0742. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571)272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner

6/27606